UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,583	04/17/2007	Masayoshi Son	286489US2PCT	6494
	7590 12/22/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DÚKE ST ALEXANDRIA	REET	CHOKSHI, PINKAL R		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2425		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)			
		10/568,58	33	SON, MASAYOSHI		
Office Action Summary				Art Unit		
		PINKAL C	HOKSHI	2425		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress	
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the m d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE ALL STATES AND ALL STATE	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status						
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	1 May 2008				
′=	_					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allo			osecution as to the	e merits is	
-	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•			
	on of Claims	•	,			
· · _	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		nsideration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	arawii iioiii co	noideration.			
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election red	ujromont			
0)[Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and	or election rec	quirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)	objected to by the l	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/568,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2425

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species	Figures	Claims
I	2	1,6,11,13,18,20
II	5	2,7,12,14,19,21

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

- 2. Upon electing species I, a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1, 6, and all the claims depended on them, drawn to a content delivery system, classified in class 725, subclass 105.
 - II. Claims 11, 18, and all the claims depended on them, drawn to a content delivery server that delivers the content data, classified in class 725, subclass 114.
 - III. Claims 13, 20, and all the claims depended on them, drawn to a content playback device that plays back content data, classified in class 725, subclass 131.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2)

that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because index adding unit and transmitting unit of the claimed subcombination are not required by the claimed combination of group I. Group III is evidence of that. The subcombination has separate utility such as index adding unit and transmitting unit.

- 4. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because playback unit and control unit of the claimed subcombination are not required by the claimed combination of group I. Group II is evidence of that. The subcombination has separate utility such as playback unit and control unit.
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as playback unit and control units. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are

Application/Control Number: 10/568,583

Art Unit: 2425

subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 4

- 6. Upon electing species II, a further restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 2, 7, and all the claims depended on them, drawn to a content delivery system, classified in class 725, subclass 105.
 - II. Claims 12, 19, and all the claims depended on them, drawn to a content delivery server that delivers the content data, classified in class 725, subclass 114.
 - III. Claims 14, 21, and all the claims depended on them, drawn to a content playback device that plays back content data, classified in class 725, subclass 131.
- 7. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Page 5

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because count adding unit and transmitting unit of the claimed subcombination are not required by the claimed combination of group I. Group III is evidence of that. The subcombination has separate utility such as count adding unit and transmitting unit.

- 8. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because playback unit and control unit of the claimed subcombination are not required by the claimed combination of group I. Group II is evidence of that. The subcombination has separate utility such as playback unit and control unit.
- 9. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as playback unit and control units. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 6

- 10. A telephone call was made to Marvin Spivak on 12/10/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but Examiner was not able to contact Marvin and did not result in an election being made.
- 11. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Art Unit: 2425

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,583 Page 8

Art Unit: 2425

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PINKAL CHOKSHI whose telephone number is (571) 270-3317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 - 5 pm (Alt. Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pinkal Chokshi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2425

/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425